

Macroeconomics (Research, WS10/11)

Problem Set 5

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Illing, Jin Cao

January 29, 2011

1. Barro-Gordon model

As Barro and Gordon (1983a, b), assume a social loss function depending on employment l and prices p

$$L = (l - l^*)^2 + \beta(p - p^*)^2,$$

where l^* is efficient employment and p^* is the price level consistent with optimal inflation. All lower case letters denote logarithmic terms. The short-run Phillips curve is given by

$$l = \bar{l} + c(p - p^e + \theta),$$

where $c > 0$ is a parameter and θ is a random shock.

a) Assume that the central bank can control the price level and aims at minimizing social losses after observing productivity shock θ . Derive the first order condition for optimal monetary policy and solve the model for its rational expectations equilibrium described by $p^e = E(p)$ and policy rule $p(\theta)$.

b) Discuss the impact of exogenous parameters on the inflation bias $p^e - p^*$ and on the policy rule $p(\theta)$ obtained in **b**).

c) Assume now that the central bank commits to stabilize inflation in such a way that $p = p^*$. Compare the resulting variance of employment, the inflation bias and expected welfare loss with your solution from **b**).

☞ Blanchard and Fischer (1989), CHAPTER 11.4. Or

☞ Illing (1998).

2. Solving time-inconsistency problem: Delegation

(Rogoff, 1985) Consider an Economy in which efficient employment and optimal price level are both normalized to 1, $L^* = 1 > \bar{L}$, $P^* = 1$ and $\bar{L}$ is the natural rate of employment. For simplicity, in the following we use log values of variables; therefore $l^* = \ln L^* = 0$, $p^* = \ln P^* = 0$, and $l = \ln L$, $p = \ln P$ are the percentage deviations from their efficient levels.

Suppose the government wants to maximize the social welfare as given by

$$W = \gamma l - a \frac{p^2}{2},$$

and delegates monetary policy to a central banker who follows an objective function

$$\tilde{W} = c\gamma l - a \frac{p^2}{2},$$

in which γ is a random variable with mean $\bar{\gamma}$ and variance σ_γ^2 . Suppose that the short-run Phillips curve is given by

$$l = \bar{l} + b(p - p^e).$$

Note that the expected price level p^e is determined before γ is observed, and the central banker chooses p after γ is known.

- a) Compute the central banker's optimal solution for p , with p^e , γ and c being given.
 - b) Is the central banker able to resist the temptation to aim at efficient employment, i.e. $l^* = 0$? Compute p^e .
 - c) Compute the expected value of W .
 - d) Compute c that maximizes W . Provide some intuitions on your result.
- 📖 Illing (1998).

3. Solving time-inconsistency problem: Reputation

(Cukierman and Meltzer, 1986) Consider that a monetary policy maker has a limited tenure for only two periods. The policy maker is randomly nominated from a pool of candidates, whose object function is as following

$$W = E \left[b(p_1 - p_1^e) + cp_1 - \frac{ap_1^2}{2} + b(p_2 - p_2^e) + cp_2 - \frac{ap_2^2}{2} \right]$$

in which c is normally distributed over the candidates with mean $\bar{c}$ and variance $\sigma_c^2 > 0$. However, a and b are the same for all candidates.

The policy maker only has a limited control over inflation such that $p_t = \hat{p}_t + \epsilon_t$, $t \in \{1, 2\}$, in which $\hat{p}_t$ is the policy chosen by the policy maker with p_t^e being given and ϵ_t is a normally distributed random variable with mean zero and variance $\sigma_\epsilon^2 > 0$. The random variables, ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 and c are independent on each other. The public cannot observe $\hat{p}_t$ or ϵ_t , but only p_t . The public cannot observe c , either.

The public's expectation on the second-period price level, p_2^e , is formed on the basis of observed first-period price level p_1 in a way such that

$$p_2^e = \alpha + \beta p_1.$$

a) What is the policy maker's choice on $\hat{p}_2$? Compute the expected value of her second-period objective function in terms of p_2^e .

b) What is the policy maker's choice on $\hat{p}_1$, with α and β being given and taking account of the impact of p_1 on p_2^e ?

c) Compute the proper value of β . Provide some intuitions on your result.

d) Provide some intuitions on why the policy maker chooses a lower $\hat{p}$ in the first period than in the second.

4. Monetary policy: Limited control and incomplete information

Suppose the central bank wants to minimize a welfare function

$$L = E \left[(\pi - \pi^*)^2 \right],$$

where π^* is the optimal inflation rate. The central bank has no direct control over the price level. The inflation rate is given by

$$\pi = \rho Z + \eta,$$

where Z is the instrument to the disposal of the central bank, $\rho > 0$ is some parameter and η is a random term with standard normal distribution.

a) What is the optimal reaction of the central bank to shocks η ?

b) Suppose now that the central bank cannot observe η but only some variable $\Psi = \zeta + \eta$, where $\zeta \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and ζ and η are independent. What is the optimal response to observed

shocks Ψ in this case?

c) Suppose now that the central bank can observe η , but not ρ , which has a normal distribution with mean $\bar{\rho}$ and variance τ^2 . What is the optimal response of the central bank to observed shocks η ?

📖 Blanchard and Fischer (1989), CHAPTER 11.4.

5. Monetary policy: Interest targeting versus monetary targeting

Suppose the economy is described by linear IS and LM curves that are subject to disturbances

$$y = c - ai + \epsilon, \text{ and } m - p = hy - ki + \eta,$$

where a , h , and k are positive parameters and ϵ and η are independent mean zero shocks with finite variances. The central bank wants to stabilize output, but cannot observe y or the shocks ϵ and η . Other variables are observable. Assume for simplicity that p is fixed.

a) What is the variance of y if the central bank fixes the interest rate at some level $\bar{i}$?

b) What is the variance of y if the central bank fixes the money supply rate at some level $\bar{m}$?

c) Under which conditions does interest targeting lead to a lower variance of output than monetary targeting?

d) Describe the optimal monetary policy, when there are only IS shocks (the variance of η is zero). Does money or interest rate targeting lead to a lower variance of y ?

e) Describe the optimal monetary policy, when there are only LM shocks (the variance of ϵ is zero). Does money or interest rate targeting lead to a lower variance of y ?

f) Provide some intuitions on your results from d) and e).

g) When there are only IS shocks, is there a policy that produces a variance of y that is lower than either money or interest rate targeting? If so, what policy minimizes the variance of y ? If not, why not?

📖 Romer (2006), CHAPTER 10.6.

References

BARRO, R. J. AND D. B. GORDON (1983A): "A Positive Theory of Monetary Policy in a Natural-Rate Model." *Journal of Political Economy*, 91, August, 589–610.

- BARRO, R. J. AND D. B. GORDON (1983B):** “Rules, Discretion, and Reputation in a Model of Monetary Policy.” *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 12, July, 101–121.
- BLANCHARD, O. AND S. FISCHER (1989):** *Lectures on Macroeconomics*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- CUKIERMAN, A. AND A. H. MELTZER (1986):** “A Theory of Ambiguity, Credibility and Inflation under Discretion and Asymmetric Information.” *Econometrica*, 54, September, 1099–1128.
- ILLING, G. (1998):** “Mechanism Design for Central Banks – Results and Unsolved Issues.” In: Wagner, H. (Ed.), *Current Issues in Monetary Economics*. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag. 27–51.
- ROGOFF, K. (1985):** “The Optimal Degree of Commitment to an Intermediate Monetary Target.” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 100, November, 1169–1189.
- ROMER, D. (2006):** *Advanced Macroeconomics (3rd Ed.)*. Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin.